IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

SCENIC NEVADA, INC., Appellant, vs. CITY OF RENO, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

Nο	65	36	4

DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS

GENERAL INFORMATION

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 26 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicia	al District Second	Department 7
Count	y_Washoe	Judge Hon. Patrick Flanagan
Distric	et Ct. Case No. CV12-02863	
2. Attorn	ey filing this docketing statement	:
Attorney	Mark Wray	Telephone _ 775-348-8877
Firm <u>La</u>	w Offices of Mark Wray	
Address	608 Lander Street Reno, Nevada 89509	
Client(s)	Scenic Nevada, Inc.	·
If this is a jo the names o filing of this	oint statement by multiple appellants, add the ftheir clients on an additional sheet accompastatement.	e names and addresses of other counsel and unied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorne	ey(s) representing respondents(s):	;
	Jonathan Shipman	Telephone _775-334-2050
Firm Rei	no City Attorney's Office	
Address	One E. First Street, 3rd Floor Reno, Nevada 89505	
Client(s) _	City of Reno	
Attorney _		Telephone
Address		
Client(s) _		
	(List additional counsel on se	

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
 ☑ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Summary judgment ☐ Default judgment ☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief ☐ Grant/Denial of injunction ☐ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief ☐ Review of agency determination 	☐ Dismissal: ☐ Lack of jurisdiction ☐ Failure to state a claim ☐ Failure to prosecute ☐ Other (specify): ☐ Divorce Decree: ☐ Original ☐ Modification ☐ Other disposition (specify):
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce Child Custody Venue	
☐ Termination of parental rights 6. Pending and prior proceedings in to of all appeals or original proceedings preserve are related to this appeal: None	this court. List the case name and docket number ently or previously pending before this court which
court of all pending and prior proceedings	ther courts. List the case name, number and in other courts which are related to this appeal ed proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

None

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below: Complaint by Scenic Nevada, Inc. for declaratory relief to invalidate the City of Reno's digital billboard ordinance. Judgment entered for City of Reno.
9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
sheets as necessary): Please see attached.
10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:
None

QUESTION 9. ISSUES ON APPEAL

- 1. If the voters adopt a municipal ballot initiative, can it be immediately repealed by the city council?
- 2. Do the initiative powers reserved to the voters of each municipality under Article 19, §4 of the Nevada Constitution include the power to adopt an ordinance that cannot be amended, annulled, repealed, set aside or suspended by the city council for at least three years?
- 3. As to a ballot initiative adopted by the citizens of Reno that states: "The construction of new off-premises advertising displays/billboards is prohibited, and the City of Reno may not issue permits for their construction," did the district court err in interpreting the initiative to be merely a cap on the total number of billboards, such that the City of Reno can allow construction of billboards and issue permits for their construction, so long as the total number of billboards in the city limits does not exceed the number of billboards in existence at the time the initiative was adopted?
- 4. Did the district court err in holding that the digital billboard ordinance adopted by the Reno city council did not violate the Highway Beautification Act, 23 U.S.C. §131, and the implementing Federal State Agreement, statutes, and regulations?
- 5. Did the district court err in holding that the digital billboard ordinance does not violate provisions of the Reno sign code prohibiting construction of billboards and prohibiting intermittent lighting?

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
⊠ N/A
□ Yes
□ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
🛮 An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
oxtimes A substantial issue of first impression
oxtimes An issue of public policy
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
oxtimes A ballot question
If so, explain: The case concerns a ballot initiative passed by Reno voters in 2000 banning billboards. The constitutional issue and policy issue of first impression is whether the Nevada Constitution allows a city council to repeal or annul a municipal initiative immediately after it is adopted by the voters.
13. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? 1
Was it a bench or jury trial? Bench
14. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

15. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from Mar 27, 2014
If no written judg seeking appellate	gment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for
16. Date written not Was service by:	tice of entry of judgment or order was served Mar 28, 2014
☐ Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electronic	:/fax
17. If the time for fil (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), o	ing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion or 59)
(a) Specify the ty the date of fil	ype of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and ling.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
NOTE: Motions made pu time for filing a r P.3d 1190 (2010).	ursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the notice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245
(b) Date of entr	y of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date writter	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	by:
☐ Delivery ☐ Mail	
LJ Man	

18. Date notice of appea	l filed Mar 28, 2014
If more than one part	y has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each iled and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
19. Specify statute or rul e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	e governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
NRAP 4(a)(1)	
S	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
20. Specify the statute or the judgment or order ap (a)	other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review ppealed from:
⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	☐ NRS 703.376
☐ Other (specify)	
TYTICAL SA(D)(1): This appeal	rity provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: larises out of a final judgment entered in an action or proceeding which the judgment is entered. Specifically, a judgment arising

21. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties: Scenic Nevada, Inc. Saunders Outdoor Advertising, Inc. City of Reno
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:
Saunders Outdoor Advertising, Inc. did not appeal from the judgment against it.
22. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
Scenic Nevada, Inc.: declaratory relief Saunders Outdoor Advertising, Inc.: declaratory relief
23. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?
⊠ Yes □ No
24. If you answered "No" to question 23, complete the following:(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
☐ Yes
□ No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
☐ Yes
□ No
25. If you answered "No" to any part of question 24, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):

• The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims

 Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below,

26. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

even if not at issue on appeal
Any other order challenged on appeal
Notices of entry for each attached order

• Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Scenic Nevada, Inc. Name of appellant	Mark Wray
	Name of counsel of record
April 18, 2014 Date	Signature of counsel of record
Nevada, Washoe State and county where signed	-
CERTIFI	CATE OF SERVICE
I certify that on the 18th day of	, I served a copy of this
completed docketing statement upon all	counsel of record:
\square By personally serving it upon his	m/her; or
 ⊠ By mailing it by first class mail valdress(es): (NOTE: If all names below and attach a separate sheet Jonathan Shipman Reno City Attorney's Office One E. First Street, 3rd Floor P.O. Box 1900 Reno, Nevada 89505 	with sufficient postage prepaid to the following and addresses cannot fit below, please list names et with the addresses.)
Janet Chubb Supreme Court Settlement Judge Armstrong Teasdale LLP 50 W. Liberty Street, #950 Reno, Nevada 89501	
Dated this 18th day of A	pril , <u>2014</u>
	Signature Signature